arXiv:hep-th/9310078v1 14 Oct 1993

SCHUR-WEYL RECIPROCITY FOR THE HECKE ALGEBRA OF $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$

SUSUMU ARIKI, TOMOHIDE TERASOMA,
AND
HIROFUMI YAMADA

Susumu Ariki,
Division of Mathematics,
Tokyo University of Mercantile Marine,
Etchujima, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135, Japan

Tomohide Terasoma,
Department of Mathematics,
Tokyo Metropolitan University,
Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-03, Japan

Hirofumi Yamada,
Department of Mathematics,
Tokyo Metropolitan University,
Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-03, Japan

Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give a reciprocity between $U_q(h)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$, the Hecke algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ introduced by Ariki and Koike [1].

The Schur-Weyl reciprocity was originally discovered for $GL(m)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n$ [17, p.130]. This is the first example of dual pairs and has been generalized to various pairs of groups and algebras. Jimbo [10] proved a q-analogue of the original reciprocity, namely that between $U_q(gl_m)$ and the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_n$ of type A. A.Ram [14] utilizes the reciprocity to obtain a character formula of $\mathcal{H}_n$.

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(q, u_1, \ldots, u_r)$ be the field of rational funcitons in variables q, $u_1, \ldots, u_r$. We adopt K as the base field for both the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(gl_r)$ and the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_n$.

We denote by $U_q(h)$ the K-subalgebra of $U_q(gl_r)$ generated by $q^{E_{ii}}$'s $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. In this paper, we show that the commutant of $U_q(h)$ in $End((K^r)^{\otimes n})$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$. We also determine the irreducible decomposition of $(K^r)^{\otimes n}$ under the action of $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$. As a consequence, we obtain the reciprocity for $U_q(h)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$.

Let us review the classical Schur-Weyl reciprocity in a modified sense, i.e., that between $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. Here, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g} = gl_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus gl_{m_r}$, and $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ is the group consisting of $n \times n$ permutation matrices

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

whose nonzero entries are r-th roots of unity. The group $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and

$$s_1 = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/r}E_{11} + E_{22} + \cdots + E_{nn}.$$

The vector representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathbb{C}^m = \mathbb{C}^{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m_r}$, which has the standard basis v^i_j $(1 \le i \le r, 1 \le j \le m_i)$. On $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$, $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts by permuting components of the tensor product. The action of gl_m on $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$ is infinitesimally diagonal. We can extend the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ to that of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ by letting s_1 act on $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$ by

$$s_1(v^{i_1}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v^{i_n}_{j_n}) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}i_1/r}v^{i_1}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v^{i_n}_{j_n}\ .$$

Since $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subalgebra of $U(gl_m)$, we naturally have the action of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$ through that of $U(gl_m)$ on it. Thereby, $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$ is a $U(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$-module. It is well known that both of the irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ occurring in $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$ are indexed by the set $\Lambda_{m_1,\cdots,m_r}(n)$ of r-tuples $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda^{(r)})$ of Young diagrams with $\sum_{i=1}^r \mid \lambda^{(i)} \mid = n$, and $l(\lambda^{(i)}) \le m_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, r$ [11]. The irreducible representation space corresponding to $\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{m_1,\cdots,m_r}(n)$ is denoted by $W_{\underline{\lambda}}$ for $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$ for $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, respectively. Then we actually have,

$$(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{m_1,\cdots,m_r}(n)} W_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes V_{\underline{\lambda}}$$

as a $U(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$-module. As a consequence, the each image of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and of the group ring $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ in $End_{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n})$ is the full centralizer algebra of the other. The same situation also appears in a natural setting for finite fields, which will be explained in appendix.

We will give a q-analogue of the above story for the special case that $m_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \cdots, r$. It is an interesting problem to establish a q-analogue for the general case.

§1 Preliminaries

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q(gl_r)$ be the quantized universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g} = gl_r$ over $K = \mathbb{Q}(q, u_1, \ldots, u_r)$, defined by the following generators and relations ([10]):

$$\text{Generators: } \begin{cases} q^{\pm\epsilon_i} \ (1 \le i \le r), \\ e_i \ (1 \le i < r), \\ f_i \ (1 \le i < r), \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Relations: } & q^{\epsilon_i}q^{-\epsilon_i} = q^{-\epsilon_i}q^{\epsilon_i} = 1 \,, q^{\epsilon_i}q^{\epsilon_j} = q^{\epsilon_j}q^{\epsilon_i} \,, \\
q^{\epsilon_i}e_jq^{-\epsilon_i} &= \begin{cases} q^{-1}e_j & (j = i-1) \\ qe_j & (j = i) \\ e_j & (\text{ otherwise }), \end{cases} \\
q^{\epsilon_i}f_jq^{-\epsilon_i} &= \begin{cases} qf_j & (j = i-1) \\ q^{-1}f_j & (j = i) \\ f_j & (\text{ otherwise }), \end{cases} \\
e_if_j - f_je_i &= \delta_{ij}\frac{q^{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{i+1}} - q^{-\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{i+1}}}{q-q^{-1}} \,, \\
e_{i\pm1}e_i^2 - (q+q^{-1})e_ie_{i\pm1}e_i + e_i^2e_{i\pm1} &= 0 \,, \\
f_{i\pm1}f_i^2 - (q+q^{-1})f_if_{i\pm1}f_i + f_i^2f_{i\pm1} &= 0 \,, \\
e_ie_j = e_je_i, \quad f_if_j &= f_jf_i \quad (i > j+1).
\end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has a Hopf algebra structure with coproduct $\Delta : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(q^{\pm\epsilon_i}) &= q^{\pm\epsilon_i} \otimes q^{\pm\epsilon_i} \,, \\
\Delta(e_i) &= e_i \otimes 1 + q^{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{i+1}} \otimes e_i \,, \\
\Delta(f_i) &= f_i \otimes q^{-\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{i+1}} + 1 \otimes f_i \,.
\end{aligned}$$

On the vector space $V = K^r$, with the standard basis v_i $(1 \leq i \leq r)$, an action ρ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(e_i)v_j &= \begin{cases} v_{j-1} & (j = i+1) \\ 0 & (j \neq i+1), \end{cases} \\
\rho(f_i)v_j &= \begin{cases} v_{j+1} & (j = i) \\ 0 & (j \neq i), \end{cases} \\
\rho(q^{\epsilon_i})v_j &= \begin{cases} qv_j & (j = i) \\ v_j & (j \neq i). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

This is called the natural representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Put $\Delta^{(2)} = \Delta$ and $\Delta^{(k)} = (\Delta^{(k-1)} \otimes id) \circ \Delta$ for $k \geq 3$. By using $\Delta^{(n)}$ such obtained, one can make $V^{\otimes n}$ into a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module:

$$\rho(x)(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n}) = \Delta^{(n)}(x)(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n}) \quad (\text{for } x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})).$$

The action is also denoted by ρ. We let $U_q(h)$ be the K-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $q^{\pm\epsilon_i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_n$ the Hecke algebra of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. More precisely, $\mathcal{H}_n$ is the K-algebra defined by the following generators and relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Generators: } & g_2, \cdots, g_n \,. \\
\text{Relations: } & (g_i - q)(g_i + q^{-1}) = 0 \; (2 \leq i \leq n), \\
& g_ig_{i+1}g_i = g_{i+1}g_ig_{i+1} \; (2 \leq i < n),
\end{aligned}$$

The algebra $\mathcal{H}_n$ also acts on $V^{\otimes n}$ by

$$\sigma(g_k) = id^{\otimes(k-2)} \otimes \check{R} \otimes id^{\otimes(n-k)},$$

where $\check{R} \in End_K(V \otimes V)$ is defined by

$$\check{R}(v_i \otimes v_j) = \begin{cases} qv_i \otimes v_j & (i = j) \\ v_j \otimes v_i & (i > j) \\ v_j \otimes v_i + (q - q^{-1})v_i \otimes v_j & (i < j). \end{cases}$$

We will denote $T_k = \rho(g_k)$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$. A q-analogue of the Schur-Weyl reciprocity due to Jimbo asserts that each of $\rho(U_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_n)$ is the full centralizer algebra of the other in $End_K(V^{\otimes n})$.

We now recall the definition and properties of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$ for a positive integer r. For further discussions about $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$, readers may refer to [1]. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$ is the K-algebra defined by generators and relations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Generators: } & g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_n\ . \\ \text{Relations: } & (g_1 - u_1)\cdots(g_1 - u_r) = 0\ , \\ & (g_i - q)(g_i + q^{-1}) = 0\ (2 \leq i \leq n), \\ & g_1g_2g_1g_2 = g_2g_1g_2g_1\ , \\ & g_ig_{i+1}g_i = g_{i+1}g_ig_{i+1}\ (2 \leq i < n), \\ & g_ig_j = g_jg_i\ (i > j+1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that our $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$ is isomorphic to Ariki-Koike's when q is replaced by q^2 in [1].

We define t_j $(j = 1, \cdots, n)$ recursively by $t_1 = g_1$, $t_j = g_jt_{j-1}g_j$ $(j \geq 2)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{n,r}$ to be the K-subalgbra of $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$ generated by these elements.

For an r-tuple of Young diagrams $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda^{(r)})$ with size $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mid \lambda^{(i)} \mid= n$, a tableau $\underline{S} = (S^{(1)}, \cdots, S^{(r)})$ of shape $\underline{\lambda}$ is said to be standard if each j $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ occurs exactly once and each $S^{(i)}$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ is such a tableau that entries in each column are increasing from top to bottom and in each row from left to right. If i is located in the intersection of the l-th row and the m-th column of $S^{(p)}$, then we write $\tau(\underline{S}; i) = p$ and $c(\underline{S}; i) = m - l$. For each standard tableau $\underline{S}$ we associate a character of $\mathcal{T}_{n,r}$ by

$$\varphi_{\underline{S}}(t_i) = u_{\tau(\underline{S};i)}q^{2c(\underline{S};i)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r).$$

Proposition 1.1 ([1]).

1. *The algebra $\mathcal{T}_{n,r}$ is commutative and semi-simple.*
2. *The complete set of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{T}_{n,r}$ is*

$$\{\varphi_{\underline{S}} \mid \underline{S} \textit{ is standard.}\}.$$

3. *Irreducible representations of $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$ are parametrized by r-tuples $\underline{\lambda}$ of size n.*

We denote by $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$ the irreducible $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$- module corresponding to $\underline{\lambda}$.

Proposition 1.2 ([1]). *Let W be an $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$-module. If $\varphi_{\underline{S}}$ occurs in W considered as a $\mathcal{T}_{n,r}$-module, then W contains $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$ as an irreducible component, where $\underline{\lambda}$ is the shape of $\underline{S}$.*

§2 THE ACTION OF $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$ ON $V^{\otimes n}$

We will denote the basis element $v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n}$ of $V^{\otimes n}$ by $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$. Define the endomorphisms θ and ϖ on $V^{\otimes n}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(i_1, \cdots, i_n) &= (i_2, \cdots, i_n, i_1), \\ \varpi(i_1, \cdots, i_n) &= u_{i_1} q^{\mu^{(i_1)}-1}(i_1, \cdots, i_n), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $\mu^{(i)} = \#\{j; 1 \le j \le n, i_j = i\}$, the multiplicity of i in the set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The action of* g_i *on* $V^{\otimes n}$ *defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}(g_1) &= T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1} \theta \varpi, \\ \tilde{\sigma}(g_i) &= T_i \qquad (2 \le i \le n), \end{aligned}$$

gives a representation of $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$.

The rest of this section is devoted to proving Proposition 2.1. We denote $T_1 = \tilde{\sigma}(g_1)$. Jimbo's result [10] shows that the endomorphisms T_i $(2 \le i \le n)$ satisfy the relations :

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i - q)(T_i + q^{-1}) = 0 \qquad (2 \le i \le n), \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} \qquad (2 \le i < n), \\ T_i T_j = T_j T_i \qquad (i > j+1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we only have to show

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 - u_1) \cdots (T_1 - u_r) = 0, \\ T_1 T_2 T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1 T_2 T_1, \\ T_1 T_j = T_j T_1 \qquad (j \ge 3). \end{aligned}$$

We prove these relations in the following three lemmas, each of which corresponds to each of the above relations respectively.

Lemma 2.2. *For* $1 \le j \le r$ *and* $1 \le k \le n$, *let* $W_{j,k}$ *be the subspace of* $V^{\otimes n}$ *spanned by* $\{(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in V^{\otimes n}; i_k \ge j\}$, *and put* $W_{r+1,k} = (0)$.

(1) *For* $(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \in W_{j,k}$, *we have* $(i_1, \cdots, i_k, i_{k-1}, \cdot\cdot, i_n) \in W_{j,k-1}$, *and*

$$T_k^{-1}(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \equiv q^{-\delta(k)}(i_1, \cdots, i_k, i_{k-1}, \cdots, i_n) \qquad \textit{mod } W_{j+1,k-1},$$

where $\delta(k)$ *is 1 or 0 according to* $i_{k-1} = i_k$ *or not. In particular, we have* $T_k^{-1} W_{j,k} \subset W_{j,k-1}$.

(2) *For* $(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \in W_{j,1}$, *we have* $(i_2, \cdots, i_{k-1}, i_1, i_k, \cdots, i_n) \in W_{j,k}$, *and*

$$\begin{aligned} T_k^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1}(i_2, \cdots, i_n, i_1) \equiv & q^{-\mu_{i_1}(k)}(i_2, \cdots, i_{k-1}, i_1, i_k, \cdots, i_n) \\ & \textit{mod } W_{j+1,k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu^{(i)}(k) = \#\{j; k \le j \le n, i_j = i\}$.

(3) $(T_1 - u_j) W_{j,1} \subset W_{j+1,1}$ *for* $1 \le j \le r$.

(4) $(T - u) \cdots (T - u) = 0$

Proof. (1) It is a direct consequence of the definition of T_k^{-1}.
(2) Use the descending induction on k. The case $k = n$ is nothing but the case $k = n$ in (1). If

$$\begin{aligned} T_k^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1}(i_2, \cdots, i_n, i_1) \equiv & q^{-\mu_{i_1}(k)}(i_2, \cdots, i_{k-1}, i_1, i_k, \cdots, i_n) \\ & \bmod W_{j+1,k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k-1}^{-1}T_k^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1}(i_2, \cdots, i_n, i_1) \equiv & q^{-\mu_{i_1}(k-1)}(i_2, \cdots, i_{k-2}, i_1, i_{k-1}, \cdot\cdot, i_n) \\ & \bmod (W_{j+1,k-2} + T_{k-1}^{-1}W_{j+1,k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{k-1}^{-1}W_{j+1,k-1} \subset W_{j+1,k-2}$ by (1), the induction proceeds.
(3) By putting $k = 2$ in (2), we have

$$T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1}\theta\varpi(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \equiv u_{i_1}(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \qquad \bmod W_{j+1,1}.$$

If $i_1 > j$, then $(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \equiv 0 \bmod W_{j+1,1}$. Thus we have $T_1(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \in W_{j,1}$ and

$$T_1(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \equiv u_j(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \qquad \bmod W_{j+1,1}.$$

Therefore $(T_1 - u_j)W_{j,1} \subset W_{j+1,1}$.
(4) We have $(T_1 - u_r) \cdots (T_1 - u_1)W_{1,1} \subset (T_1 - u_r) \cdots (T_1 - u_k)W_{k,1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$, which means $(T_1 - u_1) \cdots (T_1 - u_r) = 0$. □

Lemma 2.3.

1. $\theta T_j = T_{j-1}\theta$ *and* $\varpi T_j = T_j\varpi$ *for* $j \geq 3$.
2. $\theta^2 T_2 = T_n\theta^2$ *and* $\theta^{-1}\varpi\theta\varpi T_2 = T_2\theta^{-1}\varpi\theta\varpi$.
3. $T_1T_2T_1 = (T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1})(\theta\varpi)^2$.
4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1})T_n \\ & = (T_2^{-1} \cdots T_k^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{-1})T_k(T_{k+1}^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_k^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

   for $k \geq 3$.
5. $T_1T_2T_1T_2 = T_2T_1T_2T_1$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are direct consequences of the definition of θ and ϖ.
(3) By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1T_2T_1 &= (T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})\theta\varpi(T_3^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})\theta\varpi \\ &= (T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})\theta(T_3^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})\varpi\theta\varpi \\ &= (T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1})(\theta\varpi)^2. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Use the descending induction on k. It is obvious when $k = n$. For $k \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_2^{-1} \cdots T_k^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{-1})T_k(T_{k+1}^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_k^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1}) \\ & = (T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{k-2}^{-1})\times \\ & \quad (T_k^{-1}T_{k-1}^{-1}T_k)(T_{k+1}^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_k^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1}) \\ & = (T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{k-1}^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{k-2}^{-1})\times \\ & \quad (T_{k-1}T_k^{-1}T_{k-1}^{-1})(T_{k+1}^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_k^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and the induction proceeds.
(5) By putting $k = 2$ in (4), we have

$$(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1})T_n = (T_3^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1}).$$

Therefore, by (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1T_2T_1T_2 =&(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1})(\theta\varpi)^2 T_2 \\ =&(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1})T_n(\theta\varpi)^2 \\ =&(T_3^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1})(T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1})(\theta\varpi)^2 \\ =&T_2T_1T_2T_1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4. *$T_1T_j = T_jT_1$ for $j \geq 3$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.3 (1) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_1T_j =&T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1}\theta\varpi T_j \\ =&T_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1}T_{j-1}\theta\varpi \\ =&T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{j-1}^{-1}T_j^{-1}T_{j-1}T_{j+1}^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1}\theta\varpi \\ =&T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{j-2}^{-1}T_jT_{j-1}^{-1}T_j^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1}\theta\varpi \\ =&T_jT_2^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1}\theta\varpi \\ =&T_jT_1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

These complete the proof of Proposition 2.1.

§3 Schur-Weyl reciprocity for $(U_q(h), \mathcal{H}_{n,r})$ on $V^{\otimes n}$

We first give the irreducible decomposition of the representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$ on $V^{\otimes n}$. Let $\Lambda_{\underline{1}}$ be the set of r-tuples of Young diagrams $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda^{(r)})$ of size $\sum_{i=1}^r \mid \lambda^{(i)} \mid = n$ such that each component $\lambda^{(i)}$ has length $l(\lambda^{(i)}) \leq 1$. We can think $\lambda^{(i)}$ to be a non-negative integer.

Theorem 3.1. *The irreducible decomposition of $V^{\otimes n}$ under the action $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$ is given by*

$$V^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} V_{\underline{\lambda}}.$$

Proof. For each $\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}$, we have $dim V_{\underline{\lambda}} = \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^r \lambda^{(i)}!}$, and hence the dimension of the right hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^r \lambda^{(i)}!} = r^n,$$

which coincides with $dim V^{\otimes n}$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $V_{\underline{\lambda}} \subset V^{\otimes n}$ for each $\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda$. Because of Proposition 1.2, we only have to show that for each $\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda$

there exists a simultaneous eigenvector for $\mathcal{T}_{n,r}$ in $V^{\otimes n}$ with eigenvalues $\varphi_{\underline{S}}$, where $\underline{S}$ is a certain standard tableau of shape $\underline{\lambda}$.

Put $p_k = \lambda^{(r)} + \cdots + \lambda^{(k)}$ for $1 \le k \le r$, and $p_{r+1} = 0$. Define $v_{\underline{S}} = (i_1, \cdots, i_n)$ by $i_j = k$ if $p_{k+1} + 1 \le j \le p_k$.

We show that

$$\tilde{\sigma}(t_j) v_{\underline{S}} = u_k q^{2(j - p_{k+1} - 1)} v_{\underline{S}} \tag{3.1}$$

if $p_{k+1} + 1 \le j \le p_k$. By the descending induction on l, we see that

$$T_{l+1} \cdots T_{p_{k+1}+1} v_{\underline{S}} = (i_1, \cdots, i_{l-1}, k, i_l, \cdots, \widehat{i_{p_{k+1}+1}}, \cdots, i_n) \tag{3.2}$$

for $1 \le l \le p_{k+1}$. Since $i_{p_{k+1}} = k+1 > k = i_{p_{k+1}+1}$, (3.2) holds for $l = p_{k+1}$. Assume (3.2) for l. Then it is seen that

$$T_l \cdots T_{p_{k+1}+1} v_{\underline{S}} = (i_1, \cdots, i_{l-2}, k, i_{l-1}, i_l, \cdots, \widehat{i_{p_{k+1}+1}}, \cdots, i_n)$$

since $i_{l-1} > k$, and the induction proceeds. Putting $l = 1$, we have

$$T_2 \cdots T_{p_{k+1}+1} v_{\underline{S}} = (k, i_1, i_2, \cdots, \widehat{i_{p_{k+1}+1}}, \cdots, i_n).$$

Since

$$\tilde{\sigma}(t_{p_{k+1}+1}) = T_{p_{k+1}+2}^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1} \theta \varpi T_2 \cdots T_{p_{k+1}+1},$$

we have

$$\tilde{\sigma}(t_{p_{k+1}+1}) v_{\underline{S}} = u_k q^{\lambda^{(k)}-1} T_{p_{k+1}+2}^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1} (i_1, \cdots, \widehat{i_{p_{k+1}+1}}, \cdots, i_n, k).$$

By a similar argument as that for (3.2), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} &T_l^{-1} \cdots T_n^{-1} (i_1, \cdots, \widehat{i_{p_{k+1}+1}}, \cdots, i_n, k) \\ &\qquad = (i_1, \cdots, \widehat{i_{p_{k+1}+1}}, \cdots, i_l, k, i_{l+1}, \cdots, i_n) \end{aligned}$$

for $p_k \le l \le n-1$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\sigma}(t_{p_{k+1}+1}) v_{\underline{S}} = \\ &\quad u_k q^{\lambda^{(k)}-1} T_{p_{k+1}+2}^{-1} \cdots T_{p_k}^{-1} (i_1, \cdot\cdot, \widehat{i_{p_{k+1}+1}}, \cdot\cdot, i_{p_k}, k, i_{p_k+1}, \cdot\cdot, i_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $i_{p_{k+1}+1} = \cdots = i_p = k$, the right hand side is equal to

$$u_k q^{\lambda^{(k)}-1} \cdot q^{-(p_k - p_{k+1} - 1)} v_{\underline{S}} = u_k v_{\underline{S}}.$$

This shows that (3.1) holds for the case $j = p_{k+1}+1$. For the case $p_{k+1}+2 \le j \le p_k$, we only have to observe that $T_j v_{\underline{S}} = q v_{\underline{S}}$ to see (3.1). □

Theorem 3.2.

1. *Each of* $\tilde{\rho}(U_q(h))$ *and* $\tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{n,r})$ *is the full centralizer algebra of the other in* $End_K(V^{\otimes n})$.
2. *For* $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda^{(r)}) \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}$,

$$V_{\underline{\lambda}} = \{v \in V^{\otimes n} \mid \tilde{\rho}(q^{\epsilon_i})v = q^{\lambda^{(i)}} v \textit{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

Proof. We first observe that $\tilde{\rho}(q^{\epsilon_i})$ commutes with $T_1, \cdots, T_n$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, which easily follows from

$$\tilde{\rho}(q^{\epsilon_i})(i_1, \cdots, i_n) = q^{\mu^{(i)}}(i_1, \cdots, i_n),$$

and the fact that $T_1, \cdots, T_n$ preserve the weight $\underline{\mu} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}$ of the vector $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$.

Let $\phi_{\underline{\mu}}$ be the character of $U_q(h)$ defined by

$$\phi_{\underline{\mu}}(q^{\epsilon_i}) = q^{\mu^{(i)}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

Then we have the isotypical decomposition of $V^{\otimes n}$ as $U_q(h)$-modules;

$$V^{\otimes n} = \sum_{\underline{\mu}} (V^{\otimes n})_{\underline{\mu}}, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$(V^{\otimes n})_{\underline{\mu}} = \{v \in V^{\otimes n} \mid \tilde{\rho}(q^{\epsilon_i})v = q^{\mu^{(i)}} v \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

It is apparant that the characters $\phi_{\underline{\mu}}$ and $\phi_{\underline{\mu}'}$ are inequivalent for distinct weights $\underline{\mu}$ and $\underline{\mu}'$. Therefore, dimension of $\tilde{\rho}(U_q(h))$ is equal to $\mid \Lambda_{\underline{1}} \mid$.

On the other hand, by Schur's lemma, the dimension of the commutant $\tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{n,r})'$ of $\tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{n,r})$ is equal to $\mid \Lambda_{\underline{1}} \mid$. Since $\tilde{\rho}(U_q(h)) \subset \tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{H}_{n,r})'$, we can conclude that they coincide.

Let us recall that for any simple algebra acting on a module say, M, its image in $End_K(M)$ is isomorphic to the direct product of matrix algebras which correspond to irreducible representations occurring in M. Thereby we know that

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{n,r}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} End_K(V_{\underline{\lambda}}).$$

Hence $dim\tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{n,r}) = \sum_{\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} \binom{n}{\lambda^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda^{(r)}}^2$.

On the other hand, the decomposition (3.3) shows that the dimension of the commutant $\tilde{\rho}(U_q(h))'$ of $\tilde{\rho}(U_q(h))$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\underline{\mu} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} \binom{n}{\mu^{(1)}, \cdots, \mu^{(r)}}^2.$$

Therefore, we have $\tilde{\rho}(U_q(h))' = \tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{n,r})$.

Since the space $(V^{\otimes n})_{\underline{\lambda}}$ has the element $(r, \cdot\cdot, r, r-1, \cdot\cdot\cdot, 1)$ in which each k repeats $\lambda^{(k)}$ times, the space contains $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$. Combining Theorem 3.1 and (3.3), we see $V_{\underline{\lambda}} = (V^{\otimes n})_{\underline{\lambda}}$ for any $\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}$ as desired. $\square$

§4 THE ACTION OF CERTAIN LATTICES OF $U_q(h)$ ON $V^{\otimes n}$

If we put aside the representation theory, the story we have considered in previous sections can be discussed not only over fields but also over $A = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}, u_1, \cdots, u_r]$.

Let us denote by $V_A^{\otimes n}$ the A-lattice spanned by the basis elements $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}$ has a natural A-lattice which is the A-subalgebra generated by $g_1, \cdots, g_n$. It is obvious that $\tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{n,r}(A))$ preserves $V_A^{\otimes n}$.

There are several natural ways to choose an A-lattice in $U_q(h)$. We consider two lattices, one is the A-subalgebra generated by q^{ϵ_i}'s, and the other is analogous to the Cartan part of the Kostant $\mathbb{Z}$-form introduced by G.Lusztig [12]. These are mapped to A-subalgebras in $End_A(V_A)$ by $\tilde{\rho}$, which are A-free of finite rank. In the following, we give A-free bases of these, as well as proving that these preserve $V_A^{\otimes n}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of dominant weights in an alcove as follows.

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\underline{\nu} = (\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_{r-1}) \mid n \geq \nu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \nu_{r-1} \geq 0\}.$$

Put, $\nu_{r-1} = p_r,\ \nu_{i-1} - \nu_i = p_i \quad (2 \leq i < r),\ n - \nu_1 = p_r$. Note that this bijection $\underline{\nu} \leftrightarrow \underline{p} = (p_1, \cdots, p_r)$ shows that the cardinality of $\mathcal{S}$ is equal to the dimension of $\tilde{\rho}(U_q(h))$.

We define a polynomial of $(r+1)$-variables as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\underline{\nu}}(X_0, \cdots, X_r) =& (X_0 - X_1)(X_0 - qX_1) \cdots\cdots (X_0 - q^{p_1 - 1}X_1) \\ & (X_1 - X_2) \cdots\cdots (X_1 - q^{p_2 - 1}X_2) \\ & \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ & (X_{r-1} - X_r) \cdots (X_{r-1} - q^{p_r - 1}X_r), \end{aligned}$$

which is homogeneous of degree n. In the following, we are mainly concerned with $F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^n X_r, X_1, \cdots, X_r)$.

Proposition 4.1.

(1)

$$\textit{If} \qquad F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^n X_r, X_1, \cdots, X_r) = \sum_{\underline{\mu} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} a_{\underline{\mu}\,\underline{\nu}} X_1^{\mu^{(1)}} \cdots X_r^{\mu^{(r)}},$$

then, for any $\underline{\nu}'$, $\underline{\nu}$ *in* $\mathcal{S}$,

$$\sum_{\underline{\mu} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} q^{(\underline{\nu}', \underline{\mu})} a_{\underline{\mu}\,\underline{\nu}} = \delta_{\underline{\nu}', \underline{\nu}} F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^n, q^{\nu_1}, \cdots, q^{\nu_{r-1}}, 1),$$

where, $q^{(\underline{\nu}', \underline{\mu})}$ *stands for* $q^{\nu_1' \mu^{(1)} + \cdots + \nu_{r-1}' \mu^{(r-1)}}$.

(2) *For any* $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, *we have*

$$\tilde{\rho}(q^{\alpha_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r \epsilon_r}) = \sum_{\underline{\nu} \in T} \frac{F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^{n+\alpha_r}, q^{\alpha_1}, \cdots, q^{\alpha_{r-1}}, q^{\alpha_r})}{F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^n, q^{\nu_1}, \cdots, q^{\nu_{r-1}}, 1)} \tilde{\rho}(q^{\nu_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \nu_{r-1} \epsilon_{r-1}}).$$

(3)

$$\frac{F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^{n+\alpha_r}, q^{\alpha_1}, \cdots, q^{\alpha_{r-1}}, q^{\alpha_r})}{F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^n, q^{\nu_1}, \cdots, q^{\nu_{r-1}}, 1)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}].$$

(4) *The* A*-algebra generated by* $\tilde{\rho}(q^{\epsilon_i})$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ *has an* A*-free basis* $\{\tilde{\rho}(q^{\nu_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \nu_{r-1} \epsilon_{r-1}}) \mid \underline{\nu} \in \mathcal{S}\}$

Proof. (1) We will evaluate the left hand side, which is equal to $F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^n, q^{\nu'_1}, \cdots, q^{\nu'_{r-1}}, 1)$. Assume that it is nonzero. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu'_{r-1} &\neq 0, 1, \cdots, p_r - 1, \\ \nu'_{r-2} &\neq \nu'_{r-1}, \nu'_{r-1}+1, \cdots, \nu'_{r-1} + p_{r-1} - 1, \\ &\cdots\cdots \\ \nu'_1 &\neq \nu'_2, \nu'_2 + 1, \cdots, \nu'_2 + p_2 - 1, \\ n &\neq \nu'_1, \nu'_1 + 1, \cdots, \nu'_1 + p_1 - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{gathered} \nu'_{r-1} \geq p_r,\ \nu'_{r-2} - \nu'_{r-1} \geq p_{r-1}, \cdots, \nu'_1 - \nu'_2 \geq p_2, \\ n - \nu'_1 \geq p_1. \end{gathered}$$

Since the sum of these on both sides is n, these inequalities must be equalities. It deduces $\underline{\nu}' = \underline{\nu}$, which proves (1).

(2) A conclusion of (1) is that the matrix $\left(q^{\nu_1\mu^{(1)}+\cdots+\nu_{r-1}\mu^{(r-1)}}\right)$ whose rows are indexed by ν and columns by μ, is non-singular, which means that $\{\tilde{\rho}(q^{\nu_1\epsilon_1+\cdots+\nu_{r-1}\epsilon_{r-1}}) \mid \underline{\nu} \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a K-basis of $\tilde{\rho}(U_q(h))$. Hence we can write

$$\tilde{\rho}(q^{\alpha_1\epsilon_1+\cdots+\alpha_r\epsilon_r}) = \sum_{\underline{\nu}\in\mathcal{S}} b_{\underline{\alpha\nu}}\tilde{\rho}(q^{\nu_1\epsilon_1+\cdots+\nu_{r-1}\epsilon_{r-1}})$$

for any fixed $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. If we apply both sides to a weight vector of $V^{\otimes n}$ with weight $\underline{\mu}$, we have

$$q^{\alpha_1\mu^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha_r\mu^{(r)}} = \sum_{\underline{\nu}\in\mathcal{S}} b_{\underline{\alpha\nu}} q^{\nu_1\mu^{(1)}+\cdots+\nu_{r-1}\mu^{(r-1)}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^{n+\alpha_r}, q^{\alpha_1}, \cdots, q^{\alpha_r}) &= \sum_{\underline{\mu}} a_{\underline{\mu}\,\underline{\nu}} q^{\alpha_1\mu^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha_r\mu^{(r)}} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{\mu},\underline{\nu}'} a_{\underline{\mu}\,\underline{\nu}} b_{\underline{\alpha\nu'}} q^{\nu'_1\mu^{(1)}+\cdots+\nu'_{r-1}\mu^{(r-1)}} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{\nu}'} b_{\underline{\alpha\nu'}} \delta_{\underline{\nu}'\underline{\nu}} F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^n, q^{\nu_1}, \cdots, q^{\nu_{r-1}}, 1) \\ &= b_{\underline{\alpha\nu}} F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^n, q^{\nu_1}, \cdots, q^{\nu_{r-1}}, 1), \end{aligned}$$

by which we have the required coefficients.

(3) It is obvious since the quotient

$$\frac{F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^{n+\alpha_r}, q^{\alpha_1}, \cdots, q^{\alpha_{r-1}}, q^{\alpha_r})}{F_{\underline{\nu}}(q^n, q^{\nu_1}, \cdots, q^{\nu_{r-1}}, 1)}$$

is a product of q binomial coefficients up to a power of q

(4) This is a direct consequence of (2) and (3). $\square$

The next A-lattice we consider is the A-subalgebra of $U_q(h)$ generated by q^{ϵ_i}'s and

$$\begin{bmatrix} q^{\pi} \\ N \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^{N} \frac{q^{\pi-s+1} - q^{-\pi+s-1}}{q^s - q^{-s}},$$

where $N \geq 0$, and $\pi \in P = \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_n$.

If π is replaced by an integer l, it is a q-binomial coefficient, which we denote by $\begin{bmatrix} l \\ N \end{bmatrix}$.

For comparison, we recall Lusztig's Kostant $\mathbb{Z}$-form for $U_q(sl_r)$ ([12]). It is the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$-algebra generated by $e_i^{(N)} = e_i^N/[N]!$, $f_i^{(N)} = f_i^N/[N]!$, where $[N]! = \prod_{s=1}^{N} \frac{q^s - q^{-s}}{q - q^{-1}}$. The Cartan part of this algebra has a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$-free basis

$$\{\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (K_i^{\delta_i} \begin{bmatrix} K_i \\ t_i \end{bmatrix}) \mid t_i \geq 0,\ \delta_i = 0, 1\},$$

where $K_i = q^{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}}$ $(1 \leq i < n)$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i \\ N \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^{N} \frac{q^{-s+1} K_i - q^{s-1} K_i^{-1}}{q^s - q^{-s}}.$$

Proposition 4.2.

1. *Put* $\begin{bmatrix} q^{\epsilon} \\ \underline{\nu} \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \begin{bmatrix} q^{\epsilon_i} \\ \nu^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}$ *for* $\underline{\nu} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}$*. Then we have,*

$$\tilde{\rho}(\begin{bmatrix} q^{\epsilon} \\ \underline{\nu} \end{bmatrix})(i_1, \cdots, i_n) = \delta_{\underline{\mu}, \underline{\nu}}(i_1, \cdots, i_n),$$

   where $\underline{\mu}$ *is the weight of* $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$*.*

2. *For* $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$*,* $\underline{N} = (N_1, \cdots, N_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ *and* $\pi_i \in P$*, we have*

$$\tilde{\rho}(\prod_{i=1}^{r} (q^{\alpha_i \epsilon_i} \begin{bmatrix} q^{\pi_i} \\ N_i \end{bmatrix})) = \sum_{\underline{\nu} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} (\prod_{i=1}^{r} q^{\alpha_i \nu^{(i)}} \begin{bmatrix} (\pi_i, \underline{\nu}) \\ N_i \end{bmatrix}) \tilde{\rho}(\begin{bmatrix} q^{\epsilon} \\ \underline{\nu} \end{bmatrix}).$$

3. *The* A*-algebra generated by* $\tilde{\rho}(\begin{bmatrix} q^{\pi} \\ N \end{bmatrix})$ *and* $\tilde{\rho}(q^{\pi})$ $(\pi \in P,\ N \geq 0)$ *has an* A*-free basis* $\{\tilde{\rho}(\begin{bmatrix} q^{\epsilon} \\ \underline{\nu} \end{bmatrix}) \mid \underline{\nu} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}\}$*.*

Proof. (1) It is enough to evaluate $\begin{bmatrix} \nu_i \\ \mu_i \end{bmatrix}$. If all of these are nonzero, then we must have $\mu_i \neq 0, 1, \cdots, \nu_i - 1$ for all i. But it is nothing but $\mu_i \geq \nu_i$, and we conclude that $\underline{\mu} = \underline{\nu}$, in which case the value is 1.

(2) is a direct consequence of (1). Then (3) easily follows since q-binomial coefficients are Laurent polynomials in q. $\square$

APPENDIX

We explain a finite field version of the classical Schur-Weyl reciprocity in our setting.

Let p be an odd prime such that $r \geq n$, $p \geq r+n$ and r divides $p-1$. Set $q=p^r$ and let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the field of q elements. The general linear group over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$, which we denote by $G=GL(r,q)$, admits the Frobenius actions

$$F_1((g_{ij}))=(g_{ij}^p), \text{ and } F_w((g_{ij}))=w^{-1}(g_{ij}^p)w \quad \text{for } g=(g_{ij}),$$

where $w=E_{r,1}+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}E_{i,i+1}$. We denote by G^{F_w} the group of Frobenius fixed points with respect to F_w, which is isomorphic to $GL(r,p)$.

We are dealing with the following G-module:

$$E=V\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q}V^{F_w}\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q}\cdots\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q}V^{F_w^{n-1}},$$

where $V=\mathbb{F}_q^r$ is the natural representation of G, and

$$\hat{\rho}(g)(v_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{i_n})=gv_{i_1}\otimes F_w(g)v_{i_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes F_w^{n-1}(g)v_{i_n}$$

Steinberg's tensor product theorem [16] says that E is isomorphic to the irreducible module with highest weight $(1+\cdots+p^{r-1})\epsilon_1$ as a $SL(n,q)$-module.(ϵ_1 is the highest weight of V.)

Note that the set of irreducible representations of $SL(r,\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with highest weights lying in an alcove of the weight lattice exhausts all irreducible representations of $SL(r,q)$.

As a G^{F_w}-module, E is nothing but $V^{\otimes n}$, which admits $\mathfrak{S}_n$-action as before. We also denote by ρ and σ the action of G^{F_w} and $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $V^{\otimes n}$ respectively.

Lemma. *Each of $\sigma(\mathbb{F}_q\mathfrak{S}_n)$ and $\rho(\mathbb{F}_qG^{F_w})$ is the full centralizer algebra of the other.*

Proof. Let us review results in [5]. Let $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n)$ be a Young diagram of size n. Then, by definition, the Weyl module W_λ is a cyclic module generated by a highest weight vector Φ in $V^{\otimes n}$. (For its definition, see [5;4.2]) This module can be defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Besides, the collection of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ translations of Φ spans the Specht module S_λ. Since all Young diagrams are p-regular under our assumption, these Specht modules are irreducible.

Corollary 2 of [5,p.232] states that W_λ is absolutely irreducible if and only if $\lambda_1\geq\lambda_2\geq\cdots\geq\lambda_n$, $\lambda_1-\lambda_n+n\leq p$. Hence, under our assumption, W_λ is irreducible, and the space of highest weights in the W_λ- isotypical component of $V^{\otimes n}$ apparantly contains translation of Φ under $\mathfrak{S}_n$-action. This shows that $V^{\otimes n}$ contains the direct sum of $W_\lambda\otimes S_\lambda$'s. By counting dimensions, we have

$$V^{\otimes n}=\bigoplus_\lambda W_\lambda\otimes S_\lambda.$$

Thereby, $V^{\otimes n}$ is a multiplicity free semi-simple $G^{F_w}\times\mathfrak{S}_n$-module, which proves that each of $\sigma(\mathbb{F}_q\mathfrak{S}_n)$ and $\rho(\mathbb{F}_qG^{F_w})$ is the full centralizer algebra of the other. $\square$

Let F_1 be the natural Frobenius action on V. If we set $s_1=F_1\otimes id_V\otimes\cdots\otimes id_V$, then one can extend the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $V^{\otimes n}$ to that of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. We denote this action of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ by $\tilde{\sigma}$.

Put $T_0 = G^{F_1} \cap G^{F_w}$. Each element of T_0 is of the form

$$h\big(diag(\mathbb{F}_p^\times, \cdots, \mathbb{F}_p^\times)\big)h^{-1},$$

where $h = \sum \gamma^{(i-1)(j-1)} E_{ij}$, γ is an element in $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ whose order is precisely r. Thus T_0 is a split torus of G^{F_w}. By restricting ρ to T_0, we have the action of T_0 on $V^{\otimes n}$, which we denote by $\tilde{\rho}$.

Proposition. *Each of $\tilde{\sigma}(\mathbb{F}_q \mathfrak{S}_{n,r})$ and $\rho(\mathbb{F}_q T_0)$ is the full centralizer algebra of the other. Hence, correponding to the inclusion $\hat{\rho}(G) \supset \rho(G^{F_w}) \supset \tilde{\rho}(T_0)$, we have their full centralizer algebras $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \sigma(\mathbb{F}_q \mathfrak{S}_n) \subset \tilde{\sigma}(\mathbb{F}_q \mathfrak{S}_{n,r})$ on E.*

Proof. As we have remarked in §4, each irreducible component of $V_A^{\otimes n}$ is stable under $\mathcal{H}_{n,r}(A)$-action. On the other hand, we know that its reduction modulo $u_i = q^{i-1}$ and $q = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/r}$ remains irreducible by a result proved in [2]. Hence we have

$$E = \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} V_{\underline{\lambda}}. \tag{A.1}$$

If we choose columns of the matrix h as a basis for V, these are simultaneous eigenvectors for T_0 correponding to distinct weights of T_0. Hence E is decomposed as T_0-module into $\bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda_{\underline{1}}} (E)_\mu$, such that each weight space is nonzero and $\mathbb{F}_q \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$-stable, since one can easily check that F_1 and $\tilde{\rho}(T_0)$ commute on V.

Comparing this weight decomposition with (A.1), we have that these weight spaces are irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ -module. Therefore, $V^{\otimes n}$ is a multiplicity -free semi -simple $T_0 \times \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ -module, by which we conclude the required result. ☐

List of Symbols

$\mathfrak{S}_n$, $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, $U_q(h)$, $U_q(gl_m)$, $U_q(gl_r)$, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ t_i, T_i

References

1. S.Ariki, K.Koike, *A Hecke algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ and construction of its irreducible representations*, Advances in Math. (to appear).
2. S.Ariki, *On the semi-simplicity of the Hecke algebra of* $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$, J.Algebra (to appear).
3. S.Ariki, *Representation theory of a Hecke algebra of $G(r,p,n)$, preprint, 1993.*
4. M.Broué, G.Malle, *Zyklotomische Heckealgebren, preprint, 1993.*
5. R.W.Carter, G.Lusztig, *On the modular representations of the general linear and symmetric groups*, Math.Z. **136** (1974), 193–242.
6. C.W.Curtis, *Representations of Lie algebras of classical type with applications to linear groups*, J.Math.Mech. **9** (1960), 307–326.
7. C.W.Curtis, I.Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory, vol. II*, Wiley-Interscience, 1987.
8. J.A.Green, *Polynomial Representations of GL_n*, Lecture Notes in Math. 830, 1980.
9. M.Jimbo, *A q-difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math.Phys. **10** (1985), 63–69.
10. M.Jimbo, *A q-analog of $U(gl(N+1))$, Hecke algebra, and the YangBaxter equation*, Lett. Math.Phys. **11** (1986), 247–252.
11. A.Kerber, *Representations of Permutation Groups (I)*, Lecture Notes in Math. 240, 1971.
12. G.Lusztig, *Quantum deformations of certain simple modules over enveloping algebras*, Advances in Math. **70** (1988), 237–249.

14. A.Ram, *A Frobenius formula for the characters of the Hecke algebras*, Invent.Math. **106** (1991), 461–488.
15. I.Schur, *Gesammelte Abhandlungen III*, Springer, 1973, pp. 68–85.
16. R.Steinberg, *Representations of algebraic groups*, Nagoya Math. J. **32** (1963), 33–56.
17. H.Weyl, *The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton University Press, 1939.